

National Infrastructure Planning Temple Quay House 2 The Square Bristol BS1 6PN

Spatial Policy Services, P.O. Box 7544, Civic Centre, Duke Street, Chelmsford, Essex, CM1 1XP

Your ref: My ref: Please ask for: Telephone: Date: TR010060-20033066 22/00002/NSIP Ruth Mabbutt

8 June 2023

Dear Sir/Madam

## Application by National Highways for an Order Granting Development Consent for the A12 Chelmsford to A120 widening scheme.

Further to the publication of the Examining Authority's schedule of proposed changes to the draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) issued on Monday 22 May 2023, Chelmsford City Council (CCC) submits the following:

Ref No.	Provision	Proposed Change	ExA reasoning	CCC comments
DCO-	R10-	10.—(1) Subject to	Applicant's response	CCC welcomes the
PC16	Detailed	the provisions of	to questions during	introduction of the amended
	design	this Order, Insert	ISH4 [REP5-021] and	wording to include new
		;and at end of (b)	subsequent changes	paragraph (3).
		and insert new (c) -	to the Design	
		The proposed	Principles [REP5-012],	CCC has no objection to the
		insertion in the	the ExA consider that	proposed wording.
		opening line is to	given the importance	
		correct a	of these principles to	
		typographical	the detailed design	
		error. In relation to	and the importance of	
		the proposed	delivering good design	
		insertion of	as established in the	
		paragraph (c),	NNNPS at paragraphs	
		notwithstanding	4.28 to 4.35, it is	
		the Page   8 Ref	necessary that the	
		No. Provision	Design Principles	
		Proposed change	should be referenced	
		ExA Reasoning (c)	in this Requirement.	
		the design	With regards to the	
		principles set out in	proposed insertion of	
		the scheme design	new paragraph (3), the	
		approach and	ExA consider this	
		design principles,	addition is required to	
		Insert new	address concerns	
		paragraph (3) – (3)	raised by CCC and ECC,	

·				Y
		- No part of the	amongst others, in	
		authorised	relation to the final	
		development is to	design and	
		commence until,	appearance of the	
		for that part, a	proposed	
		report has been	development and, in	
		submitted to, and,	particular, a number	
		following	of the proposed	
		consultation with	structures. The	
		the relevant local	proposed change is	
		planning authority,	considered to be	
		approved by the	relevant to the	
		Secretary of State,	proposed	
		demonstrating	development, to add	
		that— (a) the	precision to the	
		undertaker has	Requirement and is	
		engaged with	necessary to ensure	
		relevant	the delivery of good	
		stakeholders on	design across the	
		refinements to	whole of the proposed	
		detailed design for	development	
		that part of the		
		authorised		
		development; (b)		
		the undertaker has		
		had regard to the		
		relevant		
		stakeholders'		
		comments; and (c)		
		any refinements to		
		the detailed design		
		for that part of the		
		authorised		
		development		
		arising as a result		
		of that		
		engagement		
		should accord with		
		the scheme design		
		approach and		
		design principles		
DCO-	New R15 –		The ExA is aware that	As stated in its draft
PC18	Boreham		discussions are on-	Statement of Common
	operation		going to agree the	Ground with National
	phase		precise wording of the	Highways, Deadline 6,
	traffic		new Requirement.	reference 2.9.
	mitigation		Therefore, the ExA	
	measures		does not propose to	CCC welcome the proposed
			suggest any changes	traffic mitigation measures in
			at this stage in	Boreham. CCC note that
			advance of the	
	Boreham operation phase traffic mitigation		discussions are on- going to agree the precise wording of the new Requirement. Therefore, the ExA does not propose to suggest any changes at this stage in	Statement of Common Ground with National Highways, Deadline 6, reference 2.9. CCC welcome the proposed traffic mitigation measures in

conclusion of those discussions. However, we would welcome further comments and discussions between the parties to agree a single suggested insertion by the close	proposing the associated road narrowing as proposed by Essex County Council (ECC), CCC and other interested stakeholders. CCC raise no objections in principle to requirement 15
of the Examination.	but would prefer if the associated road narrowing was implemented as requested.
	However, CCC defer to the ExA and ECC on the final wording of the requirement and the provision of road narrowing measures.

Yours sincerely

Senior Planning Officer Chelmsford City Council NISP lead

For

Mr David Green Director of Sustainable Communities